

Development and validation of the HCV-MOSAIC risk score to assist testing for acute HCV infection in HIV-infected MSM

<u>Astrid M. Newsum^{1,2}, Ineke G. Stolte¹, Jan T.M. van der Meer², Janke Schinkel³, Marc van der Valk², Joost W. Vanhommerig^{1,3}, Anne Buvé⁴, Mark Danta⁵,</u> Arjan Hogewoning⁶, Maria Prins^{1,2}, on behalf of the MOSAIC (MSM Observational Study of Acute Infection with hepatitis C) study group. ¹Department of Infectious Diseases Research and Prevention, Public Health Service of Amsterdam, the Netherlands; ²Department of Internal Medicine, Center for Infection and Immunity Amsterdam (CINIMA), Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; ³Department of Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; ⁴Department of Public Health, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium; ⁵St Vincent's Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; ⁶STI Outpatient Clinic, Public Health Service of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Background

Current guidelines recommend annual hepatitis C virus (HCV) testing of HIVinfected men who have sex with men (MSM) who have unprotected sex or who were exposed to risk factors, without further specification. To improve early detection of acute HCV, development of clear-cut recommendations is relevant. For chronic HCV infections, several risk scores or screening strategies to target those at highest risk for HCV were developed. However, to the best of our knowledge risk scores identifying HIV-infected MSM at increased risk for acute HCV infection do not exist.

Methods

Development of the HCV-MOSAIC risk score:

The risk score was developed using data from self-administered questionnaires from a Dutch case-control study of HIV-infected MSM with and without an acute HCV infection (MOSAIC study). Risk factors for HCV were determined using multivariable logistic regression. For each patient an individual risk score was calculated by summing the B-coefficients of all significant risk factors reported by that patient. Using ROC curves, an optimal cut-off for the risk score to predict acute HCV infection was determined, defined as the highest sensitivity in combination with the highest specificity. Post-test probability of acute HCV infection and diagnostic gain were calculated.

Objectives

- To develop a risk score identifying HIV-infected MSM at-risk for acute HCV infection and evaluate its sensitivity and specificity.
- To validate the performance of this risk score in three different populations of HIV-infected MSM.

Validation of the HCV-MOSAIC risk score:

The risk score was validated among HIV-infected MSM from case-control studies in Belgium and the UK and from cross-sectional surveys at a Dutch STI-clinic.

Results

Six risk factors were included in the HCV-MOSAIC risk score:

- Condomless receptive anal intercourse (B 1.1) ^{6M}
- Sharing of sex toys (B 1.2) ^{6M}
- Fisting without gloves (B 0.9) ^{6M}
- Injecting drug use (B 1.4) ^{12M}

6M = in the past 6 months12M = in the past 12 months

The optimal cut-off of the HCV-MOSAIC risk score was \geq 2.0, at which 43% of the development study group would be advised to be tested for HCV, with a sensitivity of 78.0% and a specificity of 78.6%. In the three validation studies sensitivity ranged from 73.1% to 100% and specificity from 56.2% to 65.6% (table).

- Sharing straws when snorting drugs (B 1.0) ^{12M}
- Self-reported ulcerative STI (syphilis, genital herpes or lymphogranuloma venereum infection, ß 1.4) ^{12M}

Table: Characteristics of the study populations including the performance of the <u>HCV-MOSAIC risk score among HIV-infected MSM</u>

	Development	Validation studies		
Characteristics	<u>study</u>			
	MOSAIC	Belgium	UK	Dutch STI-clinic
	(N = 213)	(N = 142)	(N = 190)	(N = 284)
Study design	Case-control	Case-control	Case-control	Cross-sectional
- HCV positive (n) - HCV negative (n)	82 131	52 90	60 130	10 274
Study period	2009 – 2013	2010 – 2013	2003 – 2005	2007 – 2009
Median age (IQR)	45.7 (41.0 – 52.2)	45.0 (37.0 – 51.0)	38.0 (33.5 – 41.9)	42.0 (35.0 – 47.0)
Sensitivity (95% CI)	78.0% (67.9 – 85.6)	73.1% (59.7 – 83.2)	93.3% (84.1 – 97.4)	100% (72.2 – 100)
Specificity (95% CI)	78.6% (70.8 – 84.8)	65.6% (55.3 – 74.6)	56.2% (47.6 – 64.4)	60.6% (54.7 – 66.2)
Proportion with a risk score of ≥2.0 (% to be tested)	43%	49%	59%	42%

Figure: Fagan's nomogram showing the post-test probability of acute HCV infection given a risk score of ≥ 2.0 , for a range of pre-test probabilities of acute HCV infection in HIV-infected MSM

	0.1 _T		⊺ 99.9
	0.2 0.3 0.5 0.7 1		- 99.8 - 99.7 - 99.5 - 99.3 - 99
The post-test probability of	2	Likelinood Ratio + 1000 + 500	- 98 - 97
acute HCV infection ranged	57	200	- 95 - 93
from 5.9% to 20.0% given	$\left(\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ \end{array} \right) $	+ 100 + 50 + 20	f 00 f (%) 80 f (%)
acute HCV prevalence of 1.7%	abilit 0 +	+ 10 + 5	pabili
to 6.4% (confidence interval	t Prob 0 - 05 0 - 05	1 1 10.5	50 - 50 40 - 40
of the acute HCV prevalence	99 70+ 1- 10 -	+0.2 +0.1 +0.05	+ 30 et + 30
in the STI clinic surveys),	о 90 - 93 -	+0.02 +0.01 +0.005	10 7
yielding a diagnostic gain of	95 - 97 -	+ 0.002 + 0.001	+ 5 + 3
4.2% to 13.6%.	98 -		2
	99 + 99.3 + 99.5 +	Positive likelihood ratio = 3.6	+ 1 + 0.7 + 0.5
	99.7 +	Acute HCV prevalence STI clinic surveys (3	(5%) + 0.3



It could be a valuable addition to the current guidelines for HCV testing and potentially reduce the amount of tests performed in MSM at low risk for HCV.



This work was supported by the Aids Fonds Netherlands; the Research and Development Foundation of the Public Health Service of Amsterdam; Gilead Sciences Netherlands BV and AbbVie BV.